arXiv:1502.06764v1 [cs.DS] 24 Feb 2015

A deterministic sublinear-time nonadaptive algorithm for metric 1-median selection

Ching-Lueh Chang [*][†][‡]

February 25, 2015

Abstract

We give a deterministic $O(hn^{1+1/h})$-time $(2h)$-approximation nonadaptive algorithm for 1-median selection in n-point metric spaces, where $h \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{1\}$ is arbitrary. Our proof generalizes that of Chang [2].

1 Introduction

A metric space (M, d) is a nonempty set M endowed with a function $d\colon M \times M \to [\,0, \infty\,)$ such that for all x, y, $z \in M$,

- $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, and
- $d(x, y) + d(y, z) \geq d(x, z)$ (triangle inequality).

The METRIC 1-MEDIAN problem asks for a point in an n-point metric space (M, d) with the minimum average distance to other points. For $c \geq 1$, a point $\hat{p} \in M$ is said to be c-approximate for METRIC 1-MEDIAN if

$$\sum_{x \in M} d\left(\hat{p}, x\right) \leq c \cdot \min_{p \in M} \sum_{x \in M} d\left(p, x\right).$$

An algorithm for METRIC 1-MEDIAN is nonadaptive if the sequence of distances that it inspects depends only on M but not on d. Because there are $n(n-1)/2$ nonzero distances, "sublinear-time" will mean "$o(n^2)$-time."

---

[*] Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Yuan Ze University, Taoyuan, Taiwan. Email: clchang@saturn.yzu.edu.tw

[†] Innovation Center for Big Data and Digital Convergence, Yuan Ze University, Taoyuan, Taiwan.

[‡] Supported in part by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan under grant 103-2221-E-155-026-MY2.

Indyk [5, 6] shows that METRIC 1-MEDIAN has a Monte-Carlo $O(n/\epsilon^2)$-time $(1+\epsilon)$-approximation algorithm for each $\epsilon > 0$. In $\mathbb{R}^D$, where $D \geq 1$, METRIC 1-MEDIAN has a Monte-Carlo $O(2^{\text{poly}(1/\epsilon)}D)$-time $(1+\epsilon)$-approximation algorithm for all $\epsilon > 0$ [7]. Many other algorithms are known for k-median selection [1, 4, 7]. For example, Guha et al. [4] give a deterministic, $O(n^{1+\epsilon})$-time, $O(n^\epsilon)$-space, $2^{O(1/\epsilon)}$-approximation and one-pass algorithm as well as a Monte-Carlo algorithm for k-median selection in metric spaces, where $\epsilon > 0$.

We show that METRIC 1-MEDIAN has a deterministic $O(hn^{1+1/h})$-time $(2h)$-approximation nonadaptive algorithm for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{1\}$, generalizing the following theorems:

Theorem 1 ([2]). METRIC 1-MEDIAN *has a deterministic* $O(n^{1.5})$*-time* 4*-approximation nonadaptive algorithm.*

Theorem 2 ([8]). *For each* $h \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{1\}$, METRIC 1-MEDIAN *has a deterministic* $O(hn^{1+1/h})$*-time* $(2h)$*-approximation (adaptive) algorithm.*[1]

When n is a perfect square and $h = 2$, our proof is equivalent to that of Theorem 1 [2]. Chang [3] shows that METRIC 1-MEDIAN has no deterministic $o(n^2)$-query $(4 - \Omega(1))$-approximation algorithms (where an algorithm's query complexity is the number of distances that it inspects). So the approximation ratio of 4 in Theorem 1 cannot be improved to a smaller constant.

2 Our algorithm

Let $(\{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}, d)$ be a metric space, $h \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{1\}$ and $t = \lceil n^{1/h} \rceil$. For all $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, denote the (unique) t-ary representation of j by

$$(s_{h-1}(j), s_{h-2}(j), \ldots, s_0(j)) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, t-1\}^h,$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{h-1} s_\ell(j) \cdot t^\ell = j. \tag{1}$$

For all $i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$,

$$\tilde{d}\left(i, i+j \bmod n\right) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} d\left(i + \sum_{\ell=h-k}^{h-1} s_\ell(j) \cdot t^\ell \bmod n, i + \sum_{\ell=h-1-k}^{h-1} s_\ell(j) \cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right). \tag{2}$$

By convention, empty sums vanish, e.g., $\sum_{\ell=h}^{h-1} s_\ell(j) \cdot t^\ell = 0$.

---

[1] The time complexity of $O(hn^{1+1/h})$ is originally presented as $O(n^{1+1/h})$ because h is independent of n. We include the $O(h)$ factor, which is implicit in the original proof, for ease of comparison.

Lemma 3. *For all i, $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$,*

$$d\left(i, i + j \bmod n\right) \le \tilde{d}\left(i, i + j \bmod n\right).$$

Proof. By equation (2) and the triangle inequality for d,

$$\tilde{d}\left(i, i + j \bmod n\right) \ge d\left(i, i + \sum_{\ell=0}^{h-1} s_\ell(j) \cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right).$$

This and equation (1) complete the proof. □

Lemma 4. *For all $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ with*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \tilde{d}\left(\alpha, \alpha + j \bmod n\right) = \min_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \tilde{d}\left(i, i + j \bmod n\right), \tag{3}$$

we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d\left(\alpha, j\right) \le 2h \cdot \min_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d\left(i, j\right). \tag{4}$$

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{u}$ be a uniformly random element of $\{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and

$$i' = \operatorname*{argmin}_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d\left(i, j\right), \tag{5}$$

breaking ties arbitrarily. It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d\left(\alpha, j\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d\left(\alpha, \alpha + j \bmod n\right).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d\left(\alpha, \alpha + j \bmod n\right) &\overset{\text{Lemma 3}}{\le} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \tilde{d}\left(\alpha, \alpha + j \bmod n\right) \\ &\overset{\text{equation (3)}}{\le} \mathrm{E}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \tilde{d}\left(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u} + j \bmod n\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

By equation (2),

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{E}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \tilde{d}\left(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u} + j \bmod n\right)\right] \\ =\ &\mathrm{E}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} d\left(\boldsymbol{u} + \sum_{\ell=h-k}^{h-1} s_\ell(j) \cdot t^\ell \bmod n, \boldsymbol{u} + \sum_{\ell=h-1-k}^{h-1} s_\ell(j) \cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{h-1} d\left(\boldsymbol{u}+\sum_{\ell=h-k}^{h-1} s_\ell(j)\cdot t^\ell \bmod n, \boldsymbol{u}+\sum_{\ell=h-1-k}^{h-1} s_\ell(j)\cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right)\right] \\
\leq\ & \mathrm{E}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{h-1} d\left(i', \boldsymbol{u}+\sum_{\ell=h-k}^{h-1} s_\ell(j)\cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right)+d\left(i', \boldsymbol{u}+\sum_{\ell=h-1-k}^{h-1} s_\ell(j)\cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right)\right] \\
=\ & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \mathrm{E}\left[d\left(i', \boldsymbol{u}+\sum_{\ell=h-k}^{h-1} s_\ell(j)\cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right)\right]+\mathrm{E}\left[d\left(i', \boldsymbol{u}+\sum_{\ell=h-1-k}^{h-1} s_\ell(j)\cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right)\right] \\
=\ & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \left(\mathrm{E}\left[\, d\left(i', \boldsymbol{u}\right)\right]+\mathrm{E}\left[\, d\left(i', \boldsymbol{u}\right)\right]\right) \\
=\ & 2nh\cdot \mathrm{E}\left[\, d\left(i', \boldsymbol{u}\right)\right], \qquad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from the triangle inequality for d, and the second-to-last equality is true because $\boldsymbol{u}+\sum_{\ell=h-k}^{h-1} s_\ell(j)\cdot t^\ell \bmod n$ distributes uniformly at random over $\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$ for *any* $j\in\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$ and $k\in\{0,1,\ldots,h\}$. Inequalities (5)–(6) imply inequality (4). $\square$

For a predicate P, let $\chi[\,P\,]=1$ if P is true and $\chi[\,P\,]=0$ otherwise. Define $(s'_{h-1}, s'_{h-2},\ldots,s'_0)\in\{0,1,\ldots,t-1\}^h$ to be the t-ary representation of $n-1$. So $\sum_{r=0}^{h-1} s'_r\cdot t^r = n-1$. For $i\in\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$ and $m\in\{0,1,\ldots,h-1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
f\left(i,m\right) &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sum_{s_m,s_{m-1},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r\cdot t^r \leq \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r\cdot t^r\right] \\
&\quad\cdot \sum_{k=0}^{m} d\left(i+\sum_{\ell=m+1-k}^{m} s_\ell\cdot t^\ell \bmod n, i+\sum_{\ell=m-k}^{m} s_\ell\cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right), \qquad (7) \\
g\left(i,m\right) &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sum_{s_m,s_{m-1},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} d\left(i+\sum_{\ell=m+1-k}^{m} s_\ell\cdot t^\ell \bmod n, i+\sum_{\ell=m-k}^{m} s_\ell\cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right). \qquad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}
f\left(i,0\right) &= \sum_{s_0=0}^{s'_0} d\left(i, i+s_0 \bmod n\right), \qquad (9) \\
g\left(i,0\right) &= \sum_{s_0=0}^{t-1} d\left(i, i+s_0 \bmod n\right). \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5. *For all* $i\in\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$,

$$f\left(i,h-1\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \tilde{d}\left(i, i+j \bmod n\right).$$

Proof. As $\sum_{r=0}^{h-1} s'_r \cdot t^r = n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(i,h-1) &= \sum_{s_{h-1},s_{h-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{h-1} s_r \cdot t^r \leq n-1\right] \\ &\cdot \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} d\left(i + \sum_{\ell=h-k}^{h-1} s_\ell \cdot t^\ell \bmod n, i + \sum_{\ell=h-1-k}^{h-1} s_\ell \cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

By the existence and uniqueness of a t-ary representation of each $j \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{h-1} d\left(i + \sum_{\ell=h-k}^{h-1} s_\ell(j) \cdot t^\ell \bmod n, i + \sum_{\ell=h-1-k}^{h-1} s_\ell(j) \cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right) \\ =\ & \sum_{s_{h-1},s_{h-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{h-1} s_r \cdot t^r \leq n-1\right] \\ \cdot\ & \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} d\left(i + \sum_{\ell=h-k}^{h-1} s_\ell \cdot t^\ell \bmod n, i + \sum_{\ell=h-1-k}^{h-1} s_\ell \cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Equations (2) and (11)–(12) complete the proof. $\square$

Lemma 6. *For all $i \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$ and $m \in \{1,2,\ldots,h-1\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} g(i,m) &= t^m \sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1} d(i, i + s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n) \\ &+ \sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1} g(i + s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n, m-1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By equation (8),

$$\begin{aligned} & g(i,m) \\ =\ & \sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1} \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \Bigg(d(i, i + s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n) \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d\left(i + s_m \cdot t^m + \sum_{\ell=m-k}^{m-1} s_\ell \cdot t^\ell \bmod n, i + s_m \cdot t^m + \sum_{\ell=m-1-k}^{m-1} s_\ell \cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right)\Bigg), \\ & g(i + s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n, m-1) \\ =\ & \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d\left(i + s_m \cdot t^m + \sum_{\ell=m-k}^{m-1} s_\ell \cdot t^\ell \bmod n, i + s_m \cdot t^m + \sum_{\ell=m-1-k}^{m-1} s_\ell \cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right) \end{aligned}$$

for $s_m \in \{0,1,\ldots,t-1\}$. Furthermore,

$$\sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1} \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} d(i, i + s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n) = t^m \sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1} d(i, i + s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n).$$

□

Lemma 7. *For all* $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ *and* $m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, h-1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(i, m) &= \left(1 + \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r \cdot t^r\right) d\left(i, i + s'_m \cdot t^m \bmod n\right) \\ &+ t^m \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m - 1} d\left(i, i + s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n\right) \\ &+ f\left(i + s'_m \cdot t^m \bmod n, m-1\right) \\ &+ \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m - 1} g\left(i + s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n, m-1\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Observe the following for all s_m, s_{m-1}, …, $s_0 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, t-1\}$:

(i) If $s_m = s'_m$, then $\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r \cdot t^r \leq \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r \cdot t^r$ if and only if $\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s_r \cdot t^r \leq \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r \cdot t^r$;

(ii) If $s_m < s'_m$, then $\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r \cdot t^r < \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r \cdot t^r$;

(iii) If $s_m > s'_m$, then $\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r \cdot t^r > \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r \cdot t^r$.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(i,m\right) \\
\overset{\text{equation (7)}}{=}\; & \sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1} \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r\cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r\cdot t^r\right]\cdot\Bigg(d\left(i,i+s_m\cdot t^m \bmod n\right) \\
& + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d\left(i+s_m\cdot t^m+\sum_{\ell=m-k}^{m-1} s_\ell\cdot t^\ell \bmod n, i+s_m\cdot t^m+\sum_{\ell=m-1-k}^{m-1} s_\ell\cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right)\Bigg) \\
\overset{\text{item (iii)}}{=}\; & \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m} \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \left(\chi\left[\left(s_m=s'_m\right)\wedge\left(\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r\cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r\cdot t^r\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left. +\chi\left[\left(s_m<s'_m\right)\wedge\left(\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r\cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r\cdot t^r\right)\right]\right)\cdot\Bigg(d\left(i,i+s_m\cdot t^m \bmod n\right) \\
& + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d\left(i+s_m\cdot t^m+\sum_{\ell=m-k}^{m-1} s_\ell\cdot t^\ell \bmod n, i+s_m\cdot t^m+\sum_{\ell=m-1-k}^{m-1} s_\ell\cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right)\Bigg) \\
\overset{\text{item (i)}}{=}\; & \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m} \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \left(\chi\left[\left(s_m=s'_m\right)\wedge\left(\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s_r\cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r\cdot t^r\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left. +\chi\left[\left(s_m<s'_m\right)\wedge\left(\sum_{r=0}^{m} s_r\cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m} s'_r\cdot t^r\right)\right]\right)\cdot\Bigg(d\left(i,i+s_m\cdot t^m \bmod n\right) \\
& + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d\left(i+s_m\cdot t^m+\sum_{\ell=m-k}^{m-1} s_\ell\cdot t^\ell \bmod n, i+s_m\cdot t^m+\sum_{\ell=m-1-k}^{m-1} s_\ell\cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right)\Bigg) \\
\overset{\text{item (ii)}}{=}\; & \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m} \sum_{s_{m-1},s_{m-2},\ldots,s_0=0}^{t-1} \left(\chi\left[\left(s_m=s'_m\right)\wedge\left(\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s_r\cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r\cdot t^r\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left. +\chi\left[s_m<s'_m\right]\right)\cdot\Bigg(d\left(i,i+s_m\cdot t^m \bmod n\right) \\
& + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d\left(i+s_m\cdot t^m+\sum_{\ell=m-k}^{m-1} s_\ell\cdot t^\ell \bmod n, i+s_m\cdot t^m+\sum_{\ell=m-1-k}^{m-1} s_\ell\cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right)\Bigg).
\end{aligned}
\tag{13}
$$

By equation (7),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(i + s'_m \cdot t^m \bmod n, m-1\right) \\
= & \sum_{s_{m-1}, s_{m-2}, \ldots, s_0 = 0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s_r \cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r \cdot t^r\right] \\
\cdot & \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d\left(i + s'_m \cdot t^m + \sum_{\ell=m-k}^{m-1} s_\ell \cdot t^\ell \bmod n, i + s'_m \cdot t^m + \sum_{\ell=m-1-k}^{m-1} s_\ell \cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right) \\
= & \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m} \sum_{s_{m-1}, s_{m-2}, \ldots, s_0 = 0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\left(s_m = s'_m\right) \wedge \left(\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s_r \cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r \cdot t^r\right)\right] \\
\cdot & \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d\left(i + s_m \cdot t^m + \sum_{\ell=m-k}^{m-1} s_\ell \cdot t^\ell \bmod n, i + s_m \cdot t^m + \sum_{\ell=m-1-k}^{m-1} s_\ell \cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

By equation (8),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m-1} g\left(i + s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n, m-1\right) \\
= & \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m} \sum_{s_{m-1}, s_{m-2}, \ldots, s_0 = 0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\, s_m < s'_m \,\right] \\
\cdot & \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d\left(i + s_m \cdot t^m + \sum_{\ell=m-k}^{m-1} s_\ell \cdot t^\ell \bmod n, i + s_m \cdot t^m + \sum_{\ell=m-1-k}^{m-1} s_\ell \cdot t^\ell \bmod n\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Because each number in $\{0, 1, \ldots, \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r \cdot t^r\}$ can be written uniquely as $\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s_r \cdot t^r$, where s_{m-1}, s_{m-2}, …, $s_0 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, t-1\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m} \sum_{s_{m-1}, s_{m-2}, \ldots, s_0 = 0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\left(s_m = s'_m\right) \wedge \left(\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s_r \cdot t^r \le \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r \cdot t^r\right)\right] \\
\cdot \; & d\left(i, i + s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n\right) \\
= & \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m} \left(1 + \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r \cdot t^r\right) \cdot \chi\left[\, s_m = s'_m \,\right] \cdot d\left(i, i + s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n\right) \\
= & \left(1 + \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r \cdot t^r\right) d\left(i, i + s'_m \cdot t^m \bmod n\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m} \sum_{s_{m-1}, s_{m-2}, \ldots, s_0 = 0}^{t-1} \chi\left[\, s_m < s'_m \,\right] \cdot d\left(i, i + s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n\right) \\
= & \; t^m \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m-1} d\left(i, i + s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{14}
$$

```
1:  $t \leftarrow \lceil n^{1/h} \rceil$;
2:  Find the $t$-ary representation of $n-1$, denoted $(s'_{h-1}, s'_{h-2}, \ldots, s'_0) \in \{0,1,\ldots,t-1\}^h$;
3:  for $i \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$ do
4:      $f[i][0] \leftarrow \sum_{s_0=0}^{s'_0} d(i, i+s_0 \bmod n)$;
5:      $g[i][0] \leftarrow \sum_{s_0=0}^{t-1} d(i, i+s_0 \bmod n)$;
6:  end for
7:  for $m = 1$ up to $h-1$ do
8:      for $i \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$ do
9:          $f[i][m] \leftarrow (1+\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} s'_r \cdot t^r)\, d(i, i+s'_m \cdot t^m \bmod n)$;
10:         $f[i][m] \leftarrow f[i][m] + t^m \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m-1} d(i, i+s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n)$;
11:         $f[i][m] \leftarrow f[i][m] + f[i+s'_m \cdot t^m \bmod n][m-1]$;
12:         $f[i][m] \leftarrow f[i][m] + \sum_{s_m=0}^{s'_m-1} g[i+s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n][m-1]$;
13:         $g[i][m] \leftarrow t^m \sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1} d(i, i+s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n)$;
14:         $g[i][m] \leftarrow g[i][m] + \sum_{s_m=0}^{t-1} g[i+s_m \cdot t^m \bmod n][m-1]$;
15:     end for
16: end for
17: Output $\operatorname{argmin}_{i=0}^{n-1} f[i][h-1]$, breaking ties arbitrarily;
```

Figure 1: Algorithm find-median with input a metric space $(\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}, d)$ and $h \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{1\}$.

Equations (13)–(14) complete the proof. □

Lemma 8. *Algorithm* find-median *in Fig. 1 is* $(2h)$*-approximate for* METRIC 1-MEDIAN.

Proof. By equations (9)–(10), lines 4–5 of find-median compute $f(i,0)$ and $g(i,0)$. Then, by Lemmas 6–7, $f(i,m)$ and $g(i,m)$ can be found by dynamic programming as in lines 9–14. So line 17 outputs $\operatorname{argmin}_{i=0}^{n-1} f(i,h-1)$, which equals

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \tilde{d}\,(i, i+j \bmod n)$$

by Lemma 5. Now Lemma 4 gives the approximation ratio of $2h$. □

We now state our main theorem.

Theorem 9. METRIC 1-MEDIAN *has a deterministic* $O(hn^{1+1/h})$*-time* $(2h)$*-approximation nonadaptive algorithm for each* $h \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{1\}$.

Proof. Clearly, find-median is deterministic and nonadaptive. Furthermore, it is $(2h)$-approximate for METRIC 1-MEDIAN by Lemma 8. As $s'_i \le t-1$ for all

$i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, h-1\}$, the loop in lines 3–6 of find-median takes $O(nt)$ time. By precomputing t^i and $\sum_{r=0}^{i} s'_r \cdot t^r$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, h-1\}$, each iteration of the loop in lines 8–15 takes $O(t)$ time. □

References

[1] M. R. Ackermann, J. Blömer, and C. Sohler. Clustering for metric and nonmetric distance measures. *ACM Transactions on Algorithms*, 6(4), 2010. Article 59.

[2] C.-L. Chang. Deterministic sublinear-time approximations for metric 1-median selection. *Information Processing Letters*, 113(8):288–292, 2013.

[3] C.-L. Chang. A lower bound for metric 1-median selection. Technical Report arXiv: 1401.2195, 2014.

[4] S. Guha, A. Meyerson, N. Mishra, R. Motwani, and L. O'Callaghan. Clustering data streams: Theory and practice. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 15(3):515–528, 2003.

[5] P. Indyk. Sublinear time algorithms for metric space problems. In *Proceedings of the 31st Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 428–434, 1999.

[6] P. Indyk. *High-dimensional computational geometry.* PhD thesis, Stanford University, 2000.

[7] A. Kumar, Y. Sabharwal, and S. Sen. Linear-time approximation schemes for clustering problems in any dimensions. *Journal of the ACM*, 57(2):5, 2010.

[8] B.-Y. Wu. On approximating metric 1-median in sublinear time. *Information Processing Letters*, 114(4):163–166, 2014.